



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/507,022	02/18/2000	Jean Margaret Aschenbrenner	501.315US01	8152
7590 11/02/2004			EXAMINER	
David W. Lynch			PHAM, THIERRY L	
CRAWFORD MAUNU PLLC 1270 Northland Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 390			2624	
Mendota Heights, MN 55120			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

₹V	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/507,022	ASCHENBRENNER	ET AL.		
,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thierry L Pham	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	·			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of		. For all and a state of the latest and the latest	es in later. In no		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datase been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE of which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the distalutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.		
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		sidered but does N	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	nt(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b would be rejected is provided be	o)∏ will be entered low or appended.	and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:	•				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-47</u> .		•			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. Other: GAE	BRIEL GARAINA				
	- //				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented by the applicants are not persuasive. The applicants argued the cited prior art does not teach and/or suggest a data structure including at least one mapping structure for identifying rendering control data as a secondar resource. The cited prior art (Zandee) teaches the data structure including at least one mapping structure (i.e. the printer driver maps a selected rendering intents control data for a particular objects within the image (i.e. bussiness graphic), col. 5, lines 38-67 to col. 6, lines 1-67) for identifying rendering control data as a secondary resource (the printer driver also identifies whether or not the rendering intents control data for objects has a profile associated with it, col. 6, lines 34-67) and at least one include object (i.e. texts, graphics, charts, and etec, col. 3, lines 12-25 and col. 6, lines 50-67) structure for referencing the rendering control data. In a response, the applicants define a secondary resource is a resource associated with an object that may itself be processed as a resource. When a data object that requires a seondary resource is included in the print stream, the "include" structured field contains a pointer to the secondary resource, and an object type identifier that identifies the secondary resource to be a color profile. However, the applicants fail to include such limitations within the claims to clearly define its features. Herein, the examiner interprets "secondary resource" as a rendering intents selected for particular object of an image and/or print job to be printed by using an user interface provided by the printer driver as taught by Zandee.